

**From:** Brian Gollum  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/28/02 11:15am  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

Renata B. Hesse  
Antitrust Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
601 D Street NW  
Suite 1200  
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Dear Ms. Hesse:

I am writing to give my comments on the Microsoft antitrust settlement.

I believe this settlement is counter to the interests of the American public, deleterious to the American economy, and inadequate given the findings of fact in the trial.

Microsoft's anti-competitive practices are counter to the law and spirit of our free-enterprise system. These practices inhibit competition, reduce innovation, and thereby decrease employment and productivity in our nation.

Microsoft's monopolistic practices cause the public to bear increased costs and deny them the products of the innovation which would otherwise be stimulated through competition.

The finding of fact which confirmed that Microsoft is a monopoly requires strict measures which address not only the practices they have engaged in in the past, but which also prevent them from engaging in other monopolistic practices in the future.

It is my belief that a very strong set of strictures must be placed on convicted monopolists to insure that they are unable to continue their illegal activities. I do not think that the proposed settlement is strong enough to serve this function.

Sincerely,  
s/Brian L. Gollum

Brian L. Gollum  
5820 Phillips Avenue  
Pittsburgh, PA 15217  
412-422-8455

p.s. I agree with the problems identified in Dan Kegel's analysis of the settlement <<http://www.kegel.com/remedy/remedy2.html>>.